

LC History Development Group		Meeting 10:15.10.2025
<b>Welcome, minutes, matters arising, Declaration of interest.</b>	Apologies were given and the minutes of the last meeting were approved. Matters arising included the confirmation that the next meeting will be a two day meeting in November to progress the discussions on the specification.	
<b>Updates</b>	There was an update on the broad structure of the strands as they now stand. These will be discussed in greater detail at the next meeting and today's meeting will focus on assessment.	
<b>Assessment parameters</b>	<p>There was an overview of the assessment parameters for Tranche 3 subjects to discuss the considerations for the development of the History AAC.</p> <p>There was a discussion on the timing of submissions and the current arrangement of submitting after Easter in 6<sup>th</sup> year was proposed as an optimal time for the submission of the History AAC.</p>	
<b>Examples of AACs from T1 and T2</b>	The group examined examples of AACs developed across different subject areas to guide discussions on the History AAC.	
<b>AI considerations</b>	An overview of AI policies across the system was presented. In the discussion that followed a number of issues were raised such as the issues of authentication, the brief to be issued by the SEC which will narrow the current practice of open choice for a research topic, the reconceptualization of the RSR, the value of reflection and the balance between considering AI and making the AAC a valid assessment of the skills developed.	
<b>Discussion of AAC suggestions</b>	<p>The group broke into smaller groups and compiled suggestions for the structure and format of the AAC. After extensive discussion, the suggestion of a fifth year exam was not seen as a solution to the AI problem. Splitting the AAC into two elements worth 20% was also considered unwise.</p> <p>A greater focus on sources and evaluation of sources was seen as a positive inclusion in the AAC. The possible limitation of the open research topic by the brief was not welcomed by all. The suggestion of adding a reflection journal was not seen as a guarantee against the use of AI.</p> <p>A draft wording of the AAC for inclusion in the specification will be developed for the next meeting.</p>	
<b>Next Steps</b>	Next meeting: Wednesday and Thursday November 12-13	